



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/980,120 | 11/30/2001 | Marie-Christine Missana | P21742 | 8264 |

7055 7590 08/21/2003

GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE
RESTON, VA 20191

EXAMINER

ISABELLA, DAVID J

| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|
| | 3738 |

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003

15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

| | | |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Application No. | 09/980,120 | Applicant(s) |
| Examiner | DAVID J ISABELLA | MISSANA ET AL. |
| | | Art Unit 3738 |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID J ISABELLA. (3) _____.

(2) Mr. Preta. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8/5/03

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 22-75.

Identification of prior art discussed: Schweikhart.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presented arguments directed to the outstanding rejections. More specifically, applicant's representative argued that there is support in the original specification for all the changes made in the previous amendment and that Schweikhart fails to disclose a soft pouch implant that is side specific. Due to the numerous outstanding issues, Examiner reserves comments until the response is presented in written form.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See FORM, Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required